


# A VEGETATION MAP OF SOUTH AMERICA

MAPA DE LA VEGETACIÓN DE AMÉRICA DEL SUR

MAPA DA VEGETAÇÃO DA AMÉRICA DO SUL



*H.D.Eva E.E. de Miranda C.M. Di Bella V.Gond O.Huber M.Sgrenzaroli S.Jones  
A.Coutinho A.Dorado M.Guimarães C.Elvidge F.Achard A.S.Belward E.Bartholomé  
A.Baraldi G.De Grandi P.Vogt S.Fritz A.Hartley*



EUROPEAN COMMISSION  
JOINT RESEARCH CENTRE

# A VEGETATION MAP OF SOUTH AMERICA

MAPA DE LA VEGETACIÓN DE AMÉRICA DEL SUR

MAPA DA VEGETAÇÃO DA AMÉRICA DO SUL

*H.D.Eya E.E. de Miranda C.M. Di Bella V.Gond O.Huber M.Sgrenzaroli S.Jones  
A.Coutinho A.Dorado M.Guimarães C.Elvidge F.Achard A.S.Belward E.Bartholomé  
A.Baraldi G.De Grandi P.Vogt S.Fritz A.Hartley*



EUROPEAN COMMISSION  
JOINT RESEARCH CENTRE

2002

EUR 20159 EN

### *LEGAL NOTICE*

Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of the following information.

A great deal of additional information on the European Union is available on the Internet. It can be accessed through the Europa server (<http://europa.eu.int>)

Cataloguing data can be found at the end of this publication

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2002

ISBN 92-894-4449-5

© European Communities, 2002

Reproduction is authorized provided the source is acknowledged

*Printed in Italy*

# **A VEGETATION MAP OF SOUTH AMERICA**

*prepared by*

H.D.Eva\* E.E. de Miranda ‡ C.M. Di Bella# V.Gond† O.Huber◇ M.Sgrenzaroli\* S.Jones°  
A.Coutinho‡ A.Dorado‡ M.Guimarães‡ C.Elvidge\* F.Achard\* A.S.Belward\* E.Bartholomé\*  
A.Baraldi\* G.De Grandi \* P.Vogt\* S.Fritz \* A.Hartley\*

\*Institute for Environment and Sustainability – Joint Research Centre of the European  
Commission – Ispra – Italy

‡ Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária -EMBRAPA-CNPM – Campinas – Brazil

# Instituto de Clima y Agua – INTA- Los Reseros y Las Cabañas S/N (1712) – Castelar –  
Buenos Aires – Argentina

† Centre International de Recherche en Agronomie pour le Développement, Unité  
mixte de Recherche "Ecologie des Forêts de Guyane" - CIRAD / ECOFOG - Cayenne -  
French Guyana

◇ CoroLab Humboldt – CIET/IVIC – Caracas– Venezuela

° Department of Geomatics– University of Melbourne – Melbourne – Australia

‡ ECOFORÇA – Campinas – Brazil

\*NOAA-NESDIS National Geophysical Data Center- Boulder-USA

## ***Project Organisation***

*Co-ordination and continental map production*  
H.D.Eva

*Regional co-ordinators*  
E.E.de Miranda C.Di Bella V.Gond

*Regional vegetation experts*  
E.E.de Miranda C.Di Bella V.Gond O.Huber A.Dorado F.Achard  
A.Coutinho M.Guimarães

*Radar data preparation*  
M.Sgrenzaroli G.De Grandi A.Baraldi

*DMSP data preparation*  
C.Elvidge

*ATSR data preparation and interpretation*  
S.Jones

*SPOT VGT data preparation*  
E.Bartholomé P.Vogt

*Legend translation*  
E.E.de Miranda C.Di Bella V.Gond A. Dorado

*GIS*  
S.Fritz

*Web presentation*  
A.Hartley

*GLC 2000 project co-ordination*  
A.S.Belward E.Bartholomé




Figure 1: The South America map with generalised legend

## Contents

<b>1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Objectives and presentation of the map .....	1
1.2. Previous maps of South America .....	1
1.3. Applications of such maps.....	1
<b>2. Methodological approach.....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1. Use of multi-resolution satellite data .....	2
2.2. Image classification techniques .....	4
<b>3. Legend.....</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1. Classification scheme.....	7
3.2. Correspondence with the GLC 2000 global legend.....	7
3.3. Forest classes .....	7
3.4. Shrubland classes.....	9
3.5. Grassland classes .....	9
3.6. Land with little or sparse vegetation .....	10
3.7. Agricultural classes.....	11
<b>4. The distribution of the main vegetation formations .....</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1. Thematic detail .....	13
4.2. Continental distributions .....	13
4.3. Surface areas of major land cover types .....	13
<b>5. Data access and update .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>6. Maps consulted.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>7. References.....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>8. Technical specifications.....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>9. Legend translations .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>10. Accompanying maps in the series .....</b>	<b>34</b>

## List of Tables

<i>Table 1: Ancillary sources of information for class labelling .....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Table 2: Maps available for class labelling .....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Table 3: Correspondence between the regional legend and the global legend .....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Table 4: Land cover class criteria .....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Table 5: Distribution of land cover classes in South America.....</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Table 6: Class groupings in the digital data .....</i>	<i>29</i>
<i>Table 7: Digital numbers of the land cover classes .....</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>Table 8: The legend in French English Spanish and Portuguese – Forest classes .....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>Table 9: The legend in French English Spanish and Portuguese – Non-forest classes .....</i>	<i>33</i>

## List of Figures

<i>Figure 1: The South America map with generalised legend.....</i>	<i>V</i>
<i>Figure 2: SPOT VGT mosaic of South America. ....</i>	<i>X</i>
<i>Figure 3: ATSR-2 image of Rondônia.....</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>Figure 4: Map detail from the Rio Negro, north Brazil. ....</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Figure 5: The distribution of humid and dry forests. ....</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Figure 6: The distribution of shrublands.....</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Figure 7: The distribution of grasslands .....</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>Figure 8: The distribution of wetlands .....</i>	<i>18</i>



## **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of the European Commission and of EMBRAPA-CNPq. The European Space Agency is thanked for access to their Near-Real-Time Along Track Scanning Radiometer (ATSR) service. The Rutherford Appleton Laboratories are thanked for technical support and information relating to the calibration of the ATSR-2 instrument. The VEGETATION data used in the framework of this project have been provided by VEGA 2000, an initiative co-sponsored by the French Space Agency, CNES, the Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO, Belgium) and the Joint Research Centre.

## Foreword

South America accounts for around 12% of the Earth's land surface. The continent is among the most physically, biologically and climatologically diverse of all Earth's land-masses. Climate ranges from arid desertic conditions, through to humid tropical regions and cold permanent ice caps. The continent boasts the largest rainforest in the world, the largest river and has some of the world's greatest concentrations of biodiversity. In addition to the largest tropical forest left on the Earth the continent accounts for nearly a quarter of the world's potentially arable land, around 12% of the current cropland, and 17% of all pastures ([Gómez and Gallopin, 1991](#)).

The UN Population Division puts the year 2000 population for Latin America and the Caribbean at 519 million and predicts this could rise to as many as 1,025 million by 2050 (United Nations, 2001). This will put ever-increasing pressure on the land to provide employment, food, fibre and fuel. To provide for the growing population the forests will very likely continue to be cleared to make way for agriculture, ranching and plantations. Commercial wood harvesting too is likely to increase. South America's humid tropical forests declined by 16 Mha between 1990 and 1997, an average rate of 0.38 % per year, though deforestation rates in hot-spots reached 4 % (Achard et al., 2002). All the indications are that this process has not stopped.

Deforestation could lead to reductions in regional water cycling and precipitation, as well as affecting the global carbon cycle ([Zeng, 1999](#)). Many of the continent's dry land ecosystems are already subject to desertification (UNEP, 1999), grassland production could be reduced because of increasingly variable precipitation and likewise agricultural activities in specific parts of the continent may change in response to climatic shifts (Rosenzweig and Hillel, 1998). Determining likely climate change scenarios, modelling impacts of climate change, socio-economic planning and protecting the continent's biodiversity all call for regular monitoring of land cover.

Systematic land cover maps for the entire continent have only been produced every decade or so since the 1970's. Earlier maps were compiled from diverse sources and are produced on coarse scales. Maps dating from the 1990's are based on data collected by Earth Observing satellites. Compared with the earlier maps these benefit from uniformity of observation across the continent and offer improved spatial detail. They do not however offer the thematic richness of the earlier products. The Land Cover map of South America for 2000 presented here offers a combination of spatial and thematic detail previously unavailable. The map uses data from microwave and optical sensors on Earth Observing satellites to map South America's land cover into more than 40 classes at a spatial resolution of 1 km. Mapping to these levels of detail has only been possible because of recent advances in Earth Observing satellite technology and because of the involvement of scientists from South America and Europe with profound expertise in the continent's regional land cover. The quality of the final product stands testimony to the advantages of international scientific co-operation and provides an essential assessment of the continent's land resources at the turn of the new millennium.

*Alan Belward*

Head of the Global Vegetation Monitoring Unit  
December 2002



Figure 2: SPOT VGT mosaic of South America.

# **1. Introduction**

## **1.1. Objectives and presentation of the map**

The need to document the extent and condition of the world's ecosystems is well recognised. This is especially true in tropical areas, where land cover change has been unprecedented in recent decades. The advent of Earth orbiting satellites has facilitated the task of mapping and monitoring many of the areas, hitherto difficult to access. This map follows the first TREES map (Eva et al., 1999), which focused on the humid forests of tropical South America and was based on 1992 satellite imagery. The new map is much more than an update of the TREES I map, in that it presents a larger geographic region (all of South America), has more reliable spatial data, and a higher thematic content. These improvements are due to the increased availability of higher quality satellite data. The original TREES I map was created from a single source data (NOAA-AVHRR), which were designed for meteorological purposes, rather than for vegetation monitoring. The new map enables us to monitor some of the major trends in deforestation that have occurred over the last ten years. Whilst the spatial resolution of the satellite imagery is not adequate to detect small openings in the forest cover or selective extraction, it is capable of detecting the main changes that occur. It is therefore a valuable document both from which to base finer studies and for directing research, aid and development programmes. The data are available for downloading through the internet.

## **1.2. Previous maps of South America**

Several continental cartographic studies have already been undertaken: Holdridge et al. (1971), a "life-zone system" based on bio-climatic factors, rainfall and temperature; Hueck's (1972) map of potential vegetation (at 1: 8.000.000); the UNESCO (1981) Vegetation map of South America at 1:5.000.000 classifying vegetation types considering their bioclimatic and ecological context and according to their physiognomic and phenologic characteristics. The World Conservation Monitoring Centre (WCMC) has collated information from national map sources to produce continental forest cover information (Harcourt and Sayer, 1996). The Woods Hole Research Center (Stone et al., 1994) and the International Geosphere Biosphere Program (IGBP) (Loveland et al. 1999) have both produced maps of South America using data from the same satellite as was used for the TREES I map.

## **1.3. Applications of such maps**

The spatial resolution of the map (1 km pixel resolution) does not allow for accurate determination of land cover trends. For many classes the spatial fragmentation of the land cover leads to an overestimation / underestimation of land cover classes depending on the spatial arrangement of that class. However, for most of the continent this resolution obtains good results taking into account the mean size of agricultural areas or vegetation communities.

The thematic accuracy of such maps is high at aggregated levels. Thus leaving the classification at the level of forests, shrublands and grasslands results in a higher class confidence than more specific class labels. At the same time, comparisons with the previous maps should only be made at the qualitative level. It would be exceedingly rash to attempt to measure land cover change between the current map and the previous TREES map. An appropriate approach for such an exercise would be to use the perceived changes between such maps in stratification approach for the application of finer spatial resolution data (Achard et al., 2002).

## **2. Methodological approach**

### **2.1. Use of multi-resolution satellite data**

A number of different types of remotely sensed data are available for vegetation mapping at continental scales, each of these sources has its own potential application. Whilst previous maps have been derived from single source data, we use four sets of satellite information to create the map. Each of the sources of data used, outlined below, contribute to mapping a specific ecosystem or land cover, seasonality or water regime.

#### **2.1.1. Along Track Scanning Radiometer**

The Along Track Scanning Radiometer (ATSR-2) is on board the ERS-2 satellite. The sensor acquires data in two 'looks', one forward and one at nadir, each with a 500 km swath. The data are at nominal 1 km spatial resolution, and available in visible, near-infra red, middle infra-red and thermal bands. The data are provided with embedded geolocation points, which allow for an automatic correction. A repeat cycle of 9 days is possible at the equator. The middle infra-red and thermal bands allow good discrimination between dense humid forests and non-forests (Figure 3). The fine spectral bandwidths allow for the detection of some specific humid forest types, notably mangroves and bamboo dominated areas. Between 1999 and 2001 over 1000 ATSR images of Latin America were acquired in near-real-time through the European Space Agency's world wide web server. The ATSR data were corrected to top of atmosphere reflectance, by applying the calibration tables provided by the sensor designers, Rutherford Appleton Laboratories (<http://www.atsr.rl.ac.uk/>). The data were composited together into a continental mosaic by selecting pixels with the highest surface temperature. This produced a "dry season" mosaic, in which the evergreen forests, both tropical and temperate, are clearly delineated from the seasonal formations.

#### **2.1.2. SPOT VGT instrument**

The SPOT VGT sensor onboard the SPOT 4 satellite is similarly a 1 km resolution sensor. It is one of the first sensors to be specifically designed for global vegetation monitoring. It has a 2000 km swath enabling a daily acquisition of data even at the equator. It samples data in the visible (blue and red), near and middle infra-red, but has no thermal imaging capacities. The daily availability of data, make the VGT instrument invaluable in monitoring the seasonality of vegetation formations, especially in tropical areas, where cloud free data are difficult to acquire. The VGT data were provided by VITO in both S10 (ten day composites) and S1 (daily) images were acquired ([www.vgt.vito.be](http://www.vgt.vito.be)). The S10 data were composited into four mosaics, boreal winter, spring, summer and autumn (Figure 2). The selection process was undertaken by selecting the image with the lowest SWIR value after cloud screening. At the same time the ten day vegetation (Normalised Difference Vegetation Index, NDVI) profiles were synthesised into monthly products.

#### **2.1.3. JERS-1 radar data**

The Global Rain Forest Mapping project (GRFM), an international collaborative effort led and managed by the National Space Development Agency of Japan (Rosenqvist, 1996) has produced regional satellite mosaics of the humid tropical ecosystems of the world derived from the JERS-1 L band SAR. The data come as full mosaics covering the humid forests, geometrically



corrected at a nominal 100m pixel with backscatter scaled to 8 bit resolution. Two mosaics were produced of South American tropical forests, one *the high water mosaic*, coinciding with the high water period of the Amazon river at Manaus, (May-July 1996) and the other *low water mosaic* produced from data (September-December 1995) to coincide with the low water period. The radar backscatter is amplified by the presence of water under the forest canopy, in an effect called double bounce. Thus an inter-comparison of the two mosaics gives an indication of areas of major regions of flooded forests.




Figure 3: ATSR-2 image of Rondônia

#### **2.1.4. DMSP data**

The Defence Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) Operational Linescan System (OLS) has a unique low light imaging capability originally developed for the detection of clouds using moonlight. It can also detect human settlements, fires, gas flares, heavy lit fishing boats, lightning and aurora (Elvidge et al., 1997). The sensor has two spectral bands (visible and thermal infra-red) and a swath of around 3000 km. The OLS has low light sensing capabilities which go down to 9-10 watts which is much lower than comparable bands of other sensors such as NOAA AVHRR or Landsat Thematic Mapper. By monitoring the frequency of light sources, the location of human settlements can be determined, so-called “stable lights”.

### **2.1.5. The digital elevation model - GTOPO30**

Altitude thresholds for the montane forests were set using the US Geological Survey's 30 arc-second database "GTOPO30" (USGS, 1997; Bliss and Olsen, 1996). This database was amended in Venezuelan Guayana according to the topographic map of the region provided by Berry et al. 1995.

## **2.2. Image classification techniques**

### **2.2.1. Humid forest cover from ATSR data**

An unsupervised clustering algorithm (ISODATA) was used to produce 50 spectral classes from the ATSR mosaic. The fifty classes were then assigned as humid forest, interface class or non-humid forest. The class assignment was done using visual interpretation aided by thematic maps and class spectral statistics. The interface class was usually interpreted as a seasonal forest formation, an open forest or humid forest formations degraded by anthropogenic activity. It was noticed that two distinct forest formations, bamboo dominated areas and mangroves, formed separate spectral classes.

### **2.2.2. Other vegetation formations from SPOT VGT data**

The ATSR forest humid forest class was used to mask out the humid forest areas from the SPOT VGT data. The remaining area "non humid forest" was classified using the unsupervised clustering algorithm into fifty classes. The interpretation of these classes was again undertaken by visual examination of the classes in conjunction with the examination of the monthly NDVI profiles and local maps.

### **2.2.3. Flooded forests from JERS data**

A difference mosaic was created from the two JERS mosaic, highlighting the areas where a significant radiometric change occurred between the two acquisition dates (high water and low water). Visual interpretation was used to set an appropriate threshold to discriminate areas of flooding from signal fluctuation. The resultant layer was crossed with the forest layer obtained from the ATSR data, to give a seasonally flooded forest layer.

### **2.2.4. Urban areas from the DMSP stable lights**

Due to the scattering of light, the DMSP data tend to overestimate the urban extent. The data set have therefore been used as a seeding layer to locate the presence of large urban areas in the SPOT VGT data set. A mask was created from the stable lights data to extract the corresponding areas from the SPOT data, which was then classified using ISODATA into ten thematic classes. Visual interpretation was used to retain those classes related to urban areas.

### **2.2.5. Ancillary data sets**

Forest and land cover maps were assembled to aid in the labelling of spectral classes. These cover the majority of the land surface of South America, from continental maps to country and regional maps. In addition to this, maps and information on the spatial distribution and characteristics of ecosystems were collected from the literature (Tables 1 & 2).

**Table 1: Ancillary sources of information for class labelling**

<b>Biome</b>	<b>Source</b>
Tropical rain forests	Wirth et al. 2001, Oliveira & Nelson 2001, Ducke & Black 1953, De Granville 1988, Gentry 1990 1995 & 1996, Pires & Prance 1985, Clark & Clark 2000, Berry et al. 1995, Veillon 1989, ter Steege et al. 1995 2000, Molino & Sabatier 2001, Pires 1984, Prance 1989, FAO 1981, Huber 1995, Huber et al. 1988b.
Tropical dry forests	Bullock et al. 1995, Parker et al. 1993, Bucher 1982, Sampaio 1995, Adamoli et al. 1990, Spichiger & Ramella 1988, FAO 1981, Ratter 1992, Killeen et al. 1998, Kellman et al. 1994.
Flooded forests / mangroves	Adis 1984, Junk 1989, Lescure & Tostain 1989, Pires & Prance 1985.
Montane forests	Haber et al. 2000, Stadtmüller 1987, Cavelier & Etter 1995
Montane grasslands	Balslev & Luteyn 1992.
Shrublands	Huber 1988a, Berry et al. 1995, León, et al. 1998, Paruelo et al. 1998a & b APN 1999.
Tropical grasslands	Huber et al. 2001, Berry et al. 1995, Sarmiento 1983, Ratter 1992, Barbosa 1996, Eiten 1982, <a href="#">Pires &amp; Prance 1985</a> , Killeen 1990, Klink et al. 1993.
Temperate grasslands	Guerschman et al. 2002, <a href="#">Soriano 1993</a> , León, et al. 1998 Paruelo et al. 1998 2001, APN 1999.
Temperate forest	Armesto et al. 1998, Veblen et al. 1996, CI 1992, Neira et al. 2002, León, et al. 1998, Paruelo et al. 1998 APN 1999

**Table 2: Maps available for class labelling**

<b>Region</b>	<b>Map</b>
Continental	Holdridge 1971, Hueck & Seibert 1972, UNESCO 1981, World Bank 1995.
Argentina	APN 1999.
Bolivia	MDSMA 1995.
Brazil	IBGE 1995, RADAMBRAZIL 1973-1978, SOSMA 1992.
Chile	Neira et al. 2002.
Colombia	IGAC 1987.
Guianas	Huber et al. 1995, ter Steege 2001.
Ecuador	Sierra 1999, Sierra et al. 1999b.
Peru	INRENA 1996.
Venezuela	Huber and Alarcón 1988, Huber 1995.



**Table 3: Correspondence between the regional legend and the global legend**

GLOBAL LEGEND	REGIONAL LEGEND
Tree Cover, broadleaf evergreen	Closed evergreen tropical forest Open evergreen tropical forest Bamboo dominated forest Closed semi-humid forest Open semi-humid forest Temperate closed evergreen broadleaf Montane evergreen forests
Tree Cover, broadleaf, deciduous	Closed deciduous forest Open deciduous forest Closed semi deciduous forest Open semi deciduous forest Semi deciduous transition forest Temperate closed deciduous broadleaf Temperate open deciduous broadleaf Montane deciduous forests
Tree Cover, regularly flooded: Mangrove	Mangroves Fresh water flooded forests Permanent swamp forests
Tree Cover, needleleaf, evergreen	Forest plantation*
Tree Cover, mixed phenology or leaf type	Temperate mixed evergreen broadleaf Montane mixed forests
Cultivated and managed areas	Agriculture – intensive
Cropland / Other natural vegetation (non-trees)	Mosaic agriculture / degraded vegetation
Cropland / Tree Cover	Mosaic agriculture / degraded forest
Herbaceous Cover, closed-open	Grass savannah Shrub savannah Moorlands / heathlands Closed montane grasslands Open montane grasslands Closed steppe grasslands
Sparse Herbaceous or sparse shrub cover	Open shrublands Open steppe grasslands Sparse desertic steppe shrub /grassland
Shrub Cover, closed-open, evergreen	Closed shrublands
Regularly flooded shrub and/or herbaceous cover	Periodically flooded shrublands Periodically flooded grasslands
Bare Areas	Barren / bare soil Desert Salt pans
Water Bodies (natural & artificial)	Water bodies
Snow and Ice (natural & artificial)	Permanent snow /ice
Artificial surfaces and associated areas	Urban

### 3. Legend

#### 3.1. Classification scheme

The classification scheme for the legend is based on vegetation structural categories (Eiten, 1968). At the first level, the classes are broadly grouped as:

- forests
- shrublands
- grasslands
- agricultural lands
- barren surfaces
- water, ice and snow

Subsequently, we introduce percentage vegetation cover (open/closed), seasonality, flooding regime, climate and altitude. The latter two, altitude and climate, are introduced for ecological reasons – a separation of tropical vegetation forms from temperate ones, and of highland ones from lowland ones. At times this presents methodological problems, notably in areas of low vegetation cover which may be classified as - steppe / barren / desertic. Details of the class definitions are given in Table 3. The map legend has been prepared in four different languages (Tables 8 & 9, Annex 9).

#### 3.2. Correspondence with the GLC 2000 global legend

Within the scope of the GLC 2000 mapping exercise (Belward et al., 2003), a common global legend has been proposed to satisfy the requirements of global mapping, whilst remaining thematically accurate at the local level. To this end a global legend, based on the FAO LCCS (Land cover classification system - Di Gregorio and Jansen, 2000) has been developed. Table 3 shows the correspondence between the South America regional map legend and the Global map.

#### 3.3. Forest classes

*Tree canopy cover is greater than 40% and height greater than 5 metres. Closed forests are with canopy cover greater than 70% and open forests with canopy cover between 40 and 70%.*

##### 3.3.1. Humid tropical forest

###### ***Evergreen broadleaf forests***

*Forests with less than 1 month dry season.* This includes the *terre firme* forests of the Orinoco and Amazon basins, the Colombian *Choco*, the Guiana shield and the Atlantic forests of Brazil. Within this domain, certain areas exhibit a minor dry season. In the current version of the map, it has not been possible to discriminate these areas.

###### ***Evergreen broadleaf forests with bamboo dominance***

The bamboo-dominated forests (*pacales*) of the Brazilian state of Acre and of east Peru have been mapped. Whilst areas of bamboo-dominated forest exist on many mountain areas, these have been impossible to distinguish from illumination effects and from degradation.

### ***Semi-humid evergreen forests***

*Forests with less than 3 months dry season.* Forests located in the north-east Brazil on the interface between the dry *caatingas* and the humid evergreen forest. These forests exhibit a small dry season of around 2 months.

### **3.3.2. Dry tropical forests**

#### ***Deciduous and semi-deciduous tropical forest***

*Forests with more than 3 months dry season.* The main contiguous areas are the Bolivian *Chaco* and the *Caatingas* of north east of Brazil. Both these areas are heavily affected by anthropogenic activity. The *Chaco* is often described as a low forest, mainly as much of the high grade timber has been removed. The *Caatingas* are a more open forest, combined with a dense shrub undergrowth. The formations on the uplands of eastern Brazil, from the Serra da Capivara down through the Chapada Diamantina (forest to *cerradão*) are also included in this class. Dry forest formations occur in the Peruvian Andes and the Caribbean coast of Venezuela as well as gallery forests of the Venezuelan *llanos*.

#### ***Semi-deciduous transition tropical forest***

*A geographically specific forest formation.* The *Chiquitania* forest of northern Bolivia forms a transition between the humid closed evergreen forests of the Amazon basin and the more open dry deciduous forests of the *Chaco*. As such, the forest has a short dry season, around September.

### **3.3.3. Flooded tropical forests**

#### ***Coastal flooded tropical forests - mangroves***

*Forests permanently under the influence of salt water.* Due to the coarse spatial resolution of the sensor only the major mangrove areas are mapped. These are found almost continually along the coast from the Orinoco delta to northern Amapá. In northeast Brazil the major formations occur between Belém and São Luis and again at Salvador. In Colombia, mangroves have been mapped at Santa Marta and around Tumaco. Further south they are found at Guayaquil in Ecuador and Tumbes in Peru.

#### ***Periodically fresh water flooded tropical forests***

*Riparian forests flooded for less than 5 months a year.* Many *igapó* and *várzeas* are found along the water courses of South America. Those mapped are the major areas which include stretches of the Amazon, with significant flooded forests at Mamirauá along the Solimões, the Purus, and the Guaporé on the Brazil-Bolivian frontier, as well as the upper reaches of the Rio Negro. In southern Amazonas, Venezuela, the region between the Orinoco and Amazon basin also has large areas of flooded forest. In central Guyana the upper reaches of the Repununi and Mazaruni rivers have extensive flooded forests. Coastal flooded forests and swamps are found from the delta of the Orinoco to the river Maroni on the Suriname-French Guiana border, and again from northern Amapá (Cabo Orange) down to the mouth of the Amazon, where the west of the island of Marajó is dominated by this ecosystem.

#### ***Permanently flooded forests***

*Forests flooded for more than 5 months a year.* The major area mapped in this class is in Peru where the large swamp region of the Pastaza fan exhibits seasonal flooding resulting in open palm swamps (*Aguajales*) and permanent swamps. In Brazil, parts of the forest near the Amapá coast, and the western part of the island of Marajó and the courses of the Guaporé river are found to be permanently inundated.

#### **3.3.4. Temperate forests**

***Evergreen broadleaf temperate forests, evergreen mixed broad and needleleaf forests, seasonal broadleaf forests.***

*Forests occurring at latitudes south of the 30° S parallel*

The three classes of temperate forests mapped occur in the southern cone of Chile and Argentina and consist of evergreen, deciduous, needle and broadleaf forests dominated by the *nothofagus* species. It was not found possible to discriminate pure needleleaf forests. The evergreen rain forests (Valdivian, North Patagonian and Magellanic) are on the Pacific coast of South America from Valdivia to Tierra del Fuego, while the seasonal broadleaf forests predominate between Santiago de Chile and Concepción, and on the east side of the Andes down to Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego.

#### **3.3.5. Montane forests**

*Forests occurring between 500m and 1000 m and at greater than 1000m above mean sea level are classed separately.* The montane forests occur predominately in the Andes and in the Guiana shield.

### **3.4. Shrubland classes**

*Shrub canopy cover is greater 20% and canopy height less than 5 metres*

#### **3.4.1. Shrublands**

Extensive shrubland formations have been mapped in Argentina (*espinal* and *monte* vegetation formations); *matoral* formations are found along the Andes reaching down into Chile; in Brazil the *cerradão* and degraded formations in the *caatingas* are mapped in this class. In Bolivia part of the dry *chaco* is mapped as shrublands rather than forest. The transition between *monte* and steppe grasslands is mapped as open shrublands.

#### **3.4.2. Periodically flooded savannah shrublands**

*Shrublands flooded for 2 or more months a year.* The region north of the Rio Negro and along the Rio Branco in Roraima, Brazil, have several shrublands periodically inundated.

### **3.5. Grassland classes**

*Herbaceous cover greater than 10% .Tree and shrub canopy cover less than 20%.*

#### **3.5.1. Tropical savannahs**

***Savannah grasslands***

*Herbaceous tropical vegetation with a dry season greater than 4 months.* The main tropical savannah regions mapped are the Venezuelan *llanos*, the Gran Sabana / Rio Branco / Rupununi savannah, the Bolivian *llanos* of Moxos, and *campos limpos* in Brazil. In Uruguay and Argentina the *pampa* is classified as agriculture or steppe grasslands.

***Shrub savannah***

*Tropical grasslands with 10 to 20% shrubs.* The Brazilian *cerrado* is classed as a shrub savannah, although much of it is now under agricultural development. The Puciarí-Humaitá savannahs near Pôrto Velho are in this class.

### ***Periodically flooded savannah grasslands***

*Savannahs with less than 5 months flooding a year.* Five main areas of flooded savannah are distinguished on the map; in the llanos of Venezuela/ Colombia extensive areas flood as do the northern parts of the Río Atrato and the Río Magdalena in northern Colombia. Along the Amazon and its tributaries, many *campos de várzea* are found. In central Brazil the Ilha do Bananal on the Rio Araguaia, and in Mato Grosso the Pantanal, see a seasonal extension of the wetlands, along with the east of the island of Marajó and savannahs in Amapá. In Bolivia, parts of the *llanos* of Moxos, and further south on the west bank of the Paraguay river, the wet *Chaco* are seasonally flooded. Extensive flooding is also found south of the confluence of the Paraná and the Paraguay and on the lower reaches of the Río Plata.

### **3.5.2. Moorlands**

*Mosaic class of bogs, herbaceous and shrub vegetation in the humid temperate region with more than 20% vegetation cover all year round.* This class is mapped in the south of Chile and Argentina on the Pacific coast and is sometimes known as Magellan moorlands, with water-logged soils, scattered bogs and heaths.

### **3.5.3. Montane grasslands**

*Herbaceous vegetation at altitudes greater than 1000 m with open (10 - 40%) and closed (>40%) formations.* The Andean grasslands, *parimo*, *jalca* and *puna* are distinguished from the tropical and temperate grasslands in this class.

### **3.5.4. Steppe vegetation**

*Herbaceous vegetation in the sub tropical zone (south of 22 S) with a clear dry season. Open (10-40%) and closed (>40%) formations.* Parts of the *pampa* of Uruguay and Argentina have been mapped as closed steppe grassland. Some of the dry montane *puna* is mapped as open steppe vegetation along with Patagonian grasslands, which are more desertic.

## **3.6. Land with little or sparse vegetation**

*Areas with less than 10% vegetation cover.*

### **3.6.1. Sparse vegetation**

*Vegetated (up to 10% cover) for more than 4 months a year.* Sparse vegetation includes xerophytic coastal vegetation from the Caribbean coast to Chile and desertic steppe in Patagonia. Small areas of the altiplano also come under this class.

### **3.6.2. Barren or bare soil**

*Unvegetated.* Areas deemed as barren, often volcanic or with a high saline content, are found in the altiplano, and sometimes called desertic *puna*. In northeast Brazil several areas in the *caatingas* are found to be barren.

### **3.6.3. Deserts**

*Vegetated (up to 10% cover) for less than 4 months a year.* Found mostly on the Pacific coast stretching from south of Tumbes in Peru, to Antofagasta in Chile. In the Bolivian Andes several regions are mapped under this class.

#### **3.6.4. Salt pans**

The two main salt pans, *Salar de Uyuni* and *Salar de Coipasa*, in Bolivia are mapped.

#### **3.6.5. Permanent ice and snow**

In tropical America, the Cordillera Blanca (mt. Huascarán at 6768m) is the main area in this class. In the southern cone, the Patagonian ice gaps and permanent snow on the Cordillera Darwin are mapped.

#### **3.6.6. Water bodies**

No distinction is made between natural and man-made water bodies.

### **3.7. Agricultural classes**

#### **3.7.1. Intensive agriculture**

*Areas with over 70% cultures or pastures.* Regions of intensive cultivation and/or sown pasture fall in this class. The main areas under such occupation are found in northwest Colombia, central and southern Brazil, and in Argentina. From a remote sensing point of view these areas are usually characterised by a period of bare soil (Gueschman et al., 2002).

#### **3.7.2. Mosaic of agriculture and non-forest vegetation**

Part of the Andean altiplano and of the north-east of Brazil (*sertão*) come under this class. It is often a mixture of pasture, cultivation and degraded natural vegetation. Degraded formations of dry forest, pasture and shrub savannah between the rivers Arauca and Portuguesa in the Venezuelan llanos are mapped in this class.

#### **3.7.3. Mosaic of agriculture and degraded forest formations**

This is a common class across South America and corresponds to shifting cultivations, agro-forestry, fragmented forests and secondary forest and rural complex (Mayaux et al. 1997). Major areas include settlements within the Amazonian forest (Rondônia, Acre, Florencia, Napo), valleys in Colombia, and the Esmeraldas coast of Ecuador. In Brazil northeast Pará is dominated by this class, as is much of the east coast from Natal to Vilha Velha, where the landscape is dominated by degraded formations of the Atlantic forest along with agriculture. In southern Brazil the region from São Paulo down to Santa Caterina exhibits similar land cover.

#### **3.7.4. Forest plantations**

The only forest plantations mapped are the pine plantations in the east of the Venezuelan *llanos*.

**Table 4: Land cover class criteria**

1. <u>Forests: tree canopy cover is &gt;40% and height &gt;5 metres</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Evergreen forests: less than 1 month dry season</li> <li>- Semi-evergreen forests: less than 3 months dry season</li> <li>- Deciduous forests: more than 3 months dry season</li> <li>- Closed forests: canopy cover &gt; 70%</li> <li>- Open forests: canopy cover 40-70%</li> <li>- Temperate forests: forests occurring at latitudes &gt; 30° south.</li> <li>- Lowland forests: forests occurring at altitudes &lt; 500m amsl.</li> <li>- Montane forests: forests occurring at altitudes &gt; 500 &lt; 1000 m amsl; forests occurring &gt; 1000 m amsl.</li> <li>- Mangroves: forests permanently under influence of sea water</li> <li>- Periodically flooded fresh water forests: riparian forests flooded for less than 5 months a year</li> <li>- Permanent swamp forest: forests flooded for more than 5 months a year</li> </ul>
2. <u>Shrublands: shrub canopy cover is &gt;20% and height &lt;5 metres</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Dry shrublands without prolonged flooding</li> <li>- Periodically flooded fresh water shrublands flooded for 2 or more months a year</li> </ul>
3. <u>Grasslands: tree and shrub canopy cover &lt;20%, herbaceous cover &gt; 10 %</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Savannahs: herbaceous tropical vegetation with a dry season &gt;4 months</li> <li>- Shrub savannahs: herbaceous tropical vegetation with 10-20% shrubs and a dry season &gt;4 months</li> <li>- Moorlands and heaths: mosaic class in the temperate region of bogs, herbaceous and shrub vegetation with &gt; 20% vegetation cover all year round.</li> <li>- Montane grasslands: herbaceous vegetation at altitudes &gt; 1000 m with open ( 10 - 40%) and closed (&gt;40% ) formations.</li> <li>- Steppe grasslands: herbaceous vegetation in the sub tropical zone (&gt; 22 S)with a clear dry season. Open (10-40%) and closed (&gt;40 % ) formations.</li> <li>- Periodically flooded fresh water grasslands: flooded for more than 2 months</li> </ul>
4. <u>Sparse and barren surfaces: &lt; 10 % vegetation cover</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Sparse desertic steppe shrub / grassland: vegetated (&lt; 10% cover) for more than 4 months a year</li> <li>- Desert: vegetated (&lt; 10% cover) for less than 4 months a year</li> <li>- Barren bare soil: unvegetated</li> <li>- Salt pans</li> </ul>
5. <u>Agriculture</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Intensive agriculture: areas with over 70% cultures or pastures</li> <li>- Mosaic of degraded forest and agriculture</li> <li>- Mosaic of agriculture and other degraded natural vegetation</li> </ul>
6. <u>Non-vegetated land cover types:</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Permanent snow/ice: snow/ice present throughout the year</li> <li>- Water bodies: Open water fresh or salt including seas, lakes, reservoirs and rivers</li> <li>- Urban: buildings, roads and other structures of anthropogenic origin</li> </ul>

## 4. The distribution of the main vegetation formations

### 4.1. Thematic detail

An example of the thematic detail of the new vegetation map is shown in figure 4. The region from Manaus to Boa Vista in Roraima is shown. The map classes can be combined to show more generalised land cover distributions.

### 4.2. Continental distributions

In figures 5 to 8 we show the continental distributions of evergreen and seasonal forests, of shrublands, of grasslands and of wetlands. For the wetlands, the classes flooded forests, mangroves, flooded shrublands and flooded grasslands are shown.

### 4.3. Surface areas of major land cover types

The actual percentage cover of each land cover type is expressed in Table 5. The landcover of the continent is estimated to be 46% forests, 24% agriculture, 26% grasslands and steppe, 3% barren and 1 % water bodies.




Figure 4: Map detail from the Rio Negro, north Brazil.



**Table 5: Distribution of land cover classes in South America**

<i>Land cover class</i>	<i>Surface area (sqkm)</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Humid forests		
Evergreen broadleaf -	6,218,476	35.0%
Closed		
Open		
Bamboo dominated		
Semi humid broadleaf	86,811	0.5%
Closed		
Open		
Dry tropical forests		
Deciduous forests	1,115,736	6.3%
Closed		
Open		
Semi deciduous forest	142,102	0.8%
Closed		
Open		
Semi deciduous transition forest	209,354	1.2%
Flooded tropical forest		
Coastal flooded forests - mangroves	17,290	0.1%
Fresh water flooded forests	199,281	1.1%
Swamp forests - open with palms	53,907	0.3%
Temperate forests		
Evergreen broadleaf	61,720	0.3%
Closed		
Open		
Evergreen mixed broad and needle leaf	29,556	0.2%
Deciduous forests	105,519	0.6%
Closed		
Open		
Agriculture		
Intensive	2,024,656	11.4%
Mosaic of degraded non-forest vegetation	735,347	4.1%
Mosaic of degraded forest vegetation	1,513,575	8.5%
Forest plantations	3,360	0.0%
Grass and shrub lands		
Savannah	350,934	2%
Shrub savannah	738,371	4%
Flooded savannah	320,941	2%
Shrublands	1,425,769	7.9%
Flooded shrublands	12,957	0.1%
Moorlands / Heath	106,896	0.6%
Montane grasslands	280,282	1.6%
Closed		
Open		
Steppe vegetation		
Closed grassland	343,148	1.9%
Open grassland	322,964	1.8%
Sparse shrubland	566,717	3.2%
Land with little or sparse vegetation		
Bare soil / barren	346,008	1.9%
Desert	194,540	1.1%
Salt pans	9,409	0.1%
Water bodies		
Natural and artificial water bodies	220,219	1.2%
Permanent ice and snow	23,877	0.1%
Urban	11,442	0.1%
	17,778,207	100.0%




Figure 5: The distribution of humid and dry forests.



Figure 6: The distribution of shrublands




Figure 7: The distribution of grasslands




Figure 8: The distribution of wetlands

## 5. Data access and update

The map of South America along with these explicative notes can be requested from the Joint Research Centre, either through the Web pages of the Global Vegetation Monitoring Unit, or by electronic mail to the authors or the GLC 2000 project.

### *Contact Information*

South America Co-ordinator:	Hugh Eva ( <a href="mailto:hugh.eva@jrc.it">hugh.eva@jrc.it</a> )
GLC 2000 Co-ordinator:	Etienne Bartholomé ( <a href="mailto:etienne.bartholome@jrc.it">etienne.bartholome@jrc.it</a> )
GVM Unit Head	Alan Belward ( <a href="mailto:alan.belward@jrc.it">alan.belward@jrc.it</a> )
GVM web page	<a href="http://www.gvm.jrc.it/">http://www.gvm.jrc.it/</a>
GLC Products page	<a href="http://www.gvm.jrc.it/glc2000/productGLC2000.htm">http://www.gvm.jrc.it/glc2000/productGLC2000.htm</a>

Details of the digital data format are given in section 6.4 of this document. When using the digital data, please use this document as a reference.

H.D.Eva, E.E.de Miranda, C.M.Di Bella, V.Gond, et al., 2002, *A Vegetation map of South America*, EUR 20159 EN, European Commission, Luxembourg.



## 6. Maps consulted

### *Continental maps*

Hueck, K. and Seibert, P., 1972, *Vegetationskarte von Südamerika/ Mapa de la Vegetación de America del Sur*, Fischer:Stuttgart.

Stone, T.A., Schlesinger P., Houghton R.A. and Woodwell G.M., 1994, A Map of the Vegetation of South America based on Satellite Imagery, *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, **60**, 441-451.

UNESCO, 1981, *Carte de la Végétation d'Amérique du Sud*, UNESCO:Paris.

World Bank, 1995, *A Conservation assessment of the terrestrial ecoregions of Latin America and the Caribbean*, World Bank:Washington D.C..

### *Country maps*

#### **Argentina**

Administración de Parques Nacionales, 1999, *Eco-regiones de la Argentina*, Programa desarrollo institucional ambiental: Buenos Aires.

#### **Bolivia**

MDSMA, 1995, *Mapa forestal de Bolivia 1:1 000 000*, Ministerio de desarrollo sostenible y medio ambiente: La Paz.

#### **Chile**

Neira, E., Vercheure, H. and Revenga, C., 2002, *Chile's Frontier forests: conserving a global treasure*. Global Forest Watch, WRI: Washington.

#### **Colombia**

IGAC, 1987, *Mapa de uso actual de la tierra*, Instituto Geográfico Agustín Codazzi: Bogota.

#### **Brazil**

Fundação SOS Mata Atlântica, 1992, *Remanescentes de mata atlântica e ecossistemas associados, 1:920.000*, Fundação SOS Mata Atlântica: São Paulo.

IBGE, 1995, *Amazônia Legal 1:3,000,000*, Fundação Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística: Rio de Janeiro.

RADAMBRAZIL, 1973-1978, *Levamento de recursos naturais*, Ministério das minas e energia, Departamento nacional da produção mineral: Rio de Janeiro.

#### **Ecuador**

Sierra, R., 1999, *Vegetacion Remanente del Ecuador Continental. Scale 1:1.000.000*, Proyecto INEFAN/GEF-BIRF, Wildlife Conservation Society and EcoCiencia: Quito.

Sierra, R., Ceron, C., Palacios W. and Valencia, R., 1999, *Mapa de Vegetacion del Ecuador Continental. Scale 1:1.000.000*. Proyecto INEFAN/GEF-BIRF, Wildlife Conservation Society and EcoCiencia: Quito.



***Guyanas***

Huber, O. Gharbarran, G., and Funk, V., 1995, *Vegetation map of Guyana*, University of Guyana: Georgetown.

ter Steege, H., 2001, *National Vegetation map of Guyana*, Guyana Forestry Commission: Georgetown.

***Peru***

INRENA, 1996, *Guia Explicativa del Mapa Forestal 1995*, Instituto Nacional de Recursos Naturales: Lima.

***Venezuela***

Huber, O., and Alarcón, C., 1988, *Mapa de vegetación de Venezuela*, Ministerio del Ambiente y de los Recursos Naturales Renovables: Caracas.

Huber, O., 1995, *Vegetation map of the Venezuelan Guayana*, Missouri Botanical Garden: St.Louis.

## 7. References

Achard, F., Eva, H. , Stibig, H. J. , Mayaux, P. , Gallego, J. , Richards, T. , and Malingreau, J.P., 2002, Determination of deforestation rates of the world's humid tropical forests. *Science*, **297**: 999-1003.

Adamoli, J., Sennhauser, E., Acero, J.M., and Rescia, A., 1990, Stress and disturbance: vegetation dynamics in the dry Chaco region of Argentina, *Journal of Biogeography*, **17**: 491-500.

Adis, J., 1984, Seasonal *igapó* forests of Central Amazonian black-water rivers and their terrestrial arthropod fauna, pp. 245-267 in: Sioli, H. (Ed.), *The Amazon-Limnology and landscape ecology of a mighty tropical river and its basin*, Junk: Dordrecht.

Armesto, J., Rozzi, R., Smith-Ramírez, C., and Arroyo, M., 1998, Conservation targets in South American temperate forests, *Science*, **282**:1271 – 1272.

Balslev, H. and Luteyn, J.L., 1992, *Paramo – an Andean ecosystem under human influence*, Academic Press: London.

Barbosa, A.S., 1996, *Sistema biogeográfico do cerrado*, Universidade Católica de Goiás: Goiânia.

Belward, A.S., Bartholome, E., Achard, F., Carmona-Moreno, C., Eva, H., Gregoire, J-M., Mayaux, P., and Stibig, H-J. , 2003, Mapping Global Land Cover for the year 2000, *International Journal of Remote Sensing* (in press).

Berry, P.E., Holst, B.K., and Steyermark, J.A. (eds.), 1995, *Flora of the Venezuelan Guayana Vol. 1*. Missouri Botanical Garden: St.Louis.

Bliss, N.B. and Olsen, L.M., 1996, Development of a 30-arc-second digital elevation model of South America, in: *Pecora Thirteen, Human interactions with the environment-perspectives from space, held at Souix Falls, South Dakota, August 20-22, 1996*.

Bucher, E.H., 1982, Chaco and caatinga - South American arid savannahs, woodland and thickets, pp. 48-79, in: Huntley B.J. and Walker B.H. (eds.) *Ecology of Tropical Savannahs, Ecological Studies*, 42, Springer Verlag: New York.

Bullock, S.H., Mooney, H.A. and Medina, E. (eds.), 1995, *Seasonally dry tropical forests*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.

Cavelier, J. and Etter, A., 1995, Deforestation of montane forests in Colombia as a result of illegal plantations of opium (*Papaver somniferum*) , pp. 541-550 in: *Biodiversity and conservation of neotropical montane forests : proceedings. Bronx, N.Y. (USA)*: New York Botanical Garden: New York.

Clark, D. B. and Clark, D.A., 2000, Landscape-scale variation in forest structure and biomass in a tropical rain forest, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **137**: 185-198.

Conservation International, 1992, *Coastal Temperate Rain Forests: ecological characteristics, status and distribution worldwide*, Conservation International: Washington.

De Granville, J.-J., 1988, Phytogeographical characteristics of the Guianan forests, *Taxon*, **37(3)**: 578-594.

Di Gregorio, A. and Jansen, L., 2000, *Land cover classification system, classification concepts and user manual*, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations: Rome.

Ducke, A., and Black, G.A., 1953, Phytogeographical notes on the Brazilian Amazon. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, **25**: 1-46.

Eiten, G., 1968, Vegetation forms, *Boletim do Instituto de Botânica* #4, Instituto de Botânica: São Paulo.

Eiten, G., 1982, Brazilian "savannas", pp. 25-47 in: Huntley B.J. and Walker B.H. (eds.), *Ecology of Tropical Savannas, Ecological Studies*, 42, Springer Verlag: New York.

Elvidge, C.D., Baugh, K.B., Kihn, E.A., Kroehl, H.W. and Davis, E.R., 1997, Mapping city lights with nighttime data from the DMSP Operational Linescan System, *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, **63**: 727- 734.

Eva, H.D., Glinni A., Janvier, P., and Blair-Myers C., 1999, *Vegetation Map of Tropical South America, Scale 1/5M*, TREES Publications Series D, N°2, EUR EN 18658, European Commission: Luxembourg.

FAO/ UNEP, 1981, *Los recursos forestales de la America tropical*, FAO: Rome.

Gentry A.H. (ed.), 1990, *Four neo-tropical rainforests*, Yale University Press: New Haven.

Gentry A.H., 1995, Diversity and floristic composition of neotropical dry forests, pp.146-194 in: Bullock, S.H., Mooney, H.A. and Medina, E., (eds.) *Seasonally dry tropical forests*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.

Gentry, A.H., 1996, *A field guide to the families and genera of woody plants of northwest South America*, University of Chicago Press: Chicago.

Gond, V., 2002, Eastern Guiana shield land cover classification using SPOT-4 / VEGETATION instrument, *Global Land Cover 2000 conference*, Ispra (ITA) 18-22 March, In press.

Gómez, I.A. and Gallopin, G.C., 1991: Estimacion de la productividad primaria neta de ecosistemas terrestres del mundo en relacion a factores ambientales. *Ecologia Austral*, **1**:24-40.

Guerschman, J.P., Paruelo, J.M., Di Bella, C.M., Giallorenzi, M.C. and Pacin, F., 2002, Land Cover Classification in Argentine Pampas using multitemporal landsat TM data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* (in press).

Haber, W.A., Zuchowski, W. and Bello, E., 2000, *An introduction to cloud forest trees – Monteverde, Costa Rica – 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.* Mountain Gem Publications: Costa Rica.

Harcourt, C. S. and Sayer, J. A., 1996, *The conservation atlas of tropical forests: the*

*Americas*, Simon and Schuster: New York.

Holdridge, L.R., Grenke, W.C., Hatheway, W.H., Liang, T., and J.A. Tosi, 1971, *Forest environment in tropical life zones*, Pergamon Press: Oxford.

Huber, O., 1988a, Shrublands of the Venezuelan Guayana , pp.271-285 in: Holm-Nielsen, L.B., Nielsen, I.C. and Balslev, H. (eds.), *Tropical forests*, Academic Press: London.

Huber, O. ,1988b, Guayana highlands versus Guayana lowlands, a reappraisal. *Taxon*, **37(3)**:595-614.

Huber,O. 1995, *Vegetation*, pp. 97-160 in: Berry et al. *Flora of the Venezuelan Guayana Vol. 1*. Missouri Botanical Garden: St.Louis.

Huber, O. and Riina, R. (eds), 1997, *Glosario fitoecológico de las Américas*, vol. 1, UNESCO: Paris.

Huber, O. , Febres, G. and Arnal, H. (eds.), 2001, *Ecological guide to the Gran Sabana*, The Nature Conservancy: Arlington.

Hueck, K. and Seibert, P., 1972, *Vegetationskarte von Südamerika/ Mapa de la Vegetación de America del Sur*, Fischer: Stuttgart.

IBGE, 1992, *Manual técnico da vegetação Brasileira: manuais técnicos em geociências no. 1*, Fundação Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística: Rio de Janeiro.

Junk, W.J., 1989, *Flood tolerance and tree distribution in Amazonian floodplains*, pp 47-64 in: Holm-Nielsen, L.B., Nielsen, I.C. and Balslev, H. (eds.) *Tropical forests*. Academic Press:London.

Kellman, M., Tackaberry, R., Brokaw, N. and Meave, J., 1994, Tropical gallery forests, *National Geographic Research and Exploration*, **10**:92-103.

Killeen, T.J. ,1990, The grasses of Chiquitanía, Santa Cruz, Bolivia. *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* **77**: 125-201.

Killeen, T.J. Jardim, A. , Mamani, F. and Rojas, N., 1998, Diversity, composition, and structure of a tropical deciduous forest in the Chiquitania region of Santa Cruz, Bolivia, *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **14**:803-827.

Klink, C.A., Moreira, A.G., Solbrig, O.T., 1993, Ecological Impact of Agricultural Development in the Brazilian Cerrado, pp. 259-282 in: Young,M.D. and Solbrig,O.T. (eds.), *The World's Savannas*, UNESCO and The Parthenon Group: UK.

León, R.J.C., Bran D., Collantes, M.B., Paruelo J.M. and Soriano A. ,1998, Grandes unidades de vegetación de la Patagonia extra-andina, *Ecologia Austral* **8**: 126-141.

Lescure J.-P. and Tostain, O., 1989, Les Mangroves guyanaises, *Bois et Forêts des tropiques*, **220**:35-42.

Loveland, T.R., Estes, J.E., and Scepan, J., 1999. Introduction: Special Issue on Global Land Cover Mapping and Validation. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, **65**: 1011-1012.

Mayaux, P., Janodet, E., Blair-Myers, C.M. and P. Legeay-Janvier, 1997, Vegetation Map of Central Africa at 1:5M, TREES Publications Series D1, EUR 17322, Luxembourg: European Commission.

Molino, J.-F. and Sabatier, D., 2001, Tree diversity in tropical rain forests: a validation of the intermediate disturbance hypothesis, *Science*, **294**: 1702-1704.

Neira, E., Vercheure, H. and Revenga, C., 2002, *Chile's Frontier forests: conserving a global treasure*. Global Forest Watch, WRI :Washington.

Oliveira, A. and Nelson, B., 2001, Floristic relationship of *terra firme* forests in the Brazilian Amazon, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **146**: 169-179.

Parker, T.A., Gentry, A.H., Foster, R.B., Emmons, L.H. and Remsen, J.V., 1993, *The lowland dry forests of Santa Cruz, Bolivia: a global conservation priority*. RAP (Rapid Assessment Program) Working Papers 4, Conservation International: Washington, D.C..

Paruelo, J.M., Jobbagy, E.G., Sala, O.E., 1998a, Biozones of Patagonia (Argentina), *Ecologia Austral*, **8**: 145-153.

Paruelo, J.M., Beltrán, A.B., Sala, O.E., Jobbágy, E.G. and Golluscio, R.A., 1998b, The climate of Patagonia: general patterns and controls on biotic processes. *Ecologia Austral*, **8**: 85-104.

Paruelo, J.M., Jobbagy, E.G. and Sala, O.E., 2001, Current distribution of ecosystem functional types in temperate South America, *Ecosystems*, **4**: 683-698.

PDVSA, 1993, *Imagen de Venezuela – 2 ed.*, Petróleos de Venezuela: Caracas, Venezuela.

Pires, J.M., 1984, The Amazonian forest, pp.581-602 in Sioli, H. (ed.), *The Amazon - Limnology and landscape ecology of a mighty tropical river and its basin*, Junk: Dordrecht.

Pires, J.M., and Prance, G.T., 1985, The Vegetation Types of the Brazilian Amazon, pp.109-145 in: Prance, G.T. and Lovejoy, T.E., (eds.), *Key Environments Amazonia*, Pergamon Press: Oxford.

Prance, G.T., 1989, American Tropical Forests, pp.99-132 in Lieth, H. and Weger, M.J.A., (eds.), *Ecosystems of the World Vol. 14B*, Elsevier: Amsterdam .

Ratter, J.A., 1992, Transitions between the cerrado and the forest vegetation in Brazil, pp. 417-429 in Furley, P.A., Proctor, J. and Ratter, J. A. (eds.) *Nature and Dynamics of Forest-Savanna Boundaries*, Chapman and Hall: London.

Rosenqvist Å., 1996, The Global Rain Forest Mapping project by JERS-1 SAR, *International Archives of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, **13**: 594-598.

Rosenzweig, C. and Hillel, D., 1998, *Climate Change and the Global Harvest; Potential Impacts of the Greenhouse Effect on Agriculture*, Oxford University Press: Oxford.

Sampaio, E.V.S.B., 1995, Overview of the Brazilian caatinga, pp.35-63 in: Bullock, S.H., Mooney, H.A. and Medina, E. (eds.) *Seasonally dry tropical forests*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.

Sarmiento, G., 1983, The Savannas of Tropical America, pp.245-288 in F. Bourlière, (ed.) *Tropical Savannas*, Elsevier: NewYork.

Schvartzman, J.J. and Santander,V.M., 1996, *Paraguay: informe nacional para la conferencia tecnica internacional de la FAO sobre los recursos fitogeneticos*, FAO:Rome

Seibert, P., 1998, *Guide de l'Amérique du sud, paysages et végétation*, Eugen Ulmer: Paris.

Stone, T.A., Schlesinger, P., Houghton, R.A. and Woodwell, G.M., 1994, A Map of the Vegetation of South America based on Satellite Imagery, *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, **60**: 441-451.

Sioli, H. (ed.), 1984, *The Amazon - Limnology and landscape ecology of a mighty tropical river and its basin* ,Junk: Dordrecht.

Soriano, A., 1993, Rio de la Plata Grasslands. pp. 367-408 in: Coupland, R.T., (ed). *Ecosystems of the World - Natural grasslands. Introduction and Western Hemisphere*, Elsevier: Amsterdam.

Spichiger, R. and Ramella, L., 1988, The forests of the Paraguayan Chaco, pp. 259-270 Holm-Nielsen L.B., Nielsen, I.C. and Balslev, H. (eds.) *Tropical forests*, Academic Press: London.

Stadtmüller, T., 1987, *Cloud forests in the humid tropics – a bibliographical review*. The United Nations University: Costa Rica.

ter Steege, H., Boot, R., Brouwer, L., Hammond, D., Van der Hout, P., Jetten, V. G., Khan, Z., Polak, A. M., Raaimakers, D. and Zagt, R.,1995, Basic and applied research for sound rain forest management in Guyana, *Ecological Applications*, **5**:904-910.

ter Steege, H., Sabatier, D., Castellanos, H., Van Andel, T., Duivenvoorden, J., de Oliveira,A., Ek, E., Lilwah, R., Maas, P. and Mori, S., 2000, An analysis of the floristic composition and diversity of Amazonian forests including those of the Guiana Shield, *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **16**: 801-828.

United Nations, 2001, *World Population Prospects. The 2000 revision highlights*, UN Population Division Department of Economic and Social Affairs: New York.

United Nations Environment Programme, 1999, *GEO-2000, Global Environmental Outlook*, Earthscan Publications Ltd: London.

USGS,1997,GTOPO30 Documentation, available on line at the USGS World Wide Web <http://edcwww.cr.usgs.gov/landdaac/gtopo30>.

Veblen, T.T., Donoso, Kitzberger, T. and Rebertus, A.J. 1996, Ecology of Southern Chilean and Argentinean *Nothofagus* forests, pp. 293-353 in: Veblen, T.T., Hill, R.S., and Read, J. (eds.) *The Ecology and biogeography of Nothofagus forests* Yale University Press: New Haven.

Veillon, J.P., 1989, *Los bosques naturales de Venezuela*, Instituto di silvicultura

Universidad de los andes: Mérida.

Wirth, R., Weber, B. and Ryel, R., 2001, spatial and temporal variability of canopy structure in a tropical moist forest, *Acta Oecologica*, **22**: 235-244.

Williams, R.S. and Ferrigno, J.G. (eds.), 1999, Satellite Image Atlas Of Glaciers Of The World, USGS professional paper 1386-I On-line Version. <http://pubs.usgs.gov/prof/p1386i/index.html>.

Zeng, N., 1999, Seasonal Cycle and Interannual Variability in the Amazon hydrologic cycle, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **104**: 9097-9106.

## 8. Technical specifications

The data are available from the ftp site in BINARY or ESRI format.

Classes are grouped by thematic type (table 9), with lowland forests using classes between 10 and 44, non-forest classes between 50 and 90 and montane forests from 110 to 190. Note that many digital numbers are unassigned.

**Table 6: Class groupings in the digital data**

<i>Classes</i>	<i>Land cover types</i>
10-14	Lowland (< 500m) evergreen tropical forests
20-24	Lowland (< 500m) deciduous tropical forests
30-33	Lowland (<500m) forests under flooding regime
40-44	Lowland (< 500m) temperate forests
50-53	Agricultural classes
60-75	Grass and shrublands
80-84	Unvegetated
90	Urban
110-114	Montane forests 500-1000m - evergreen
120-124	Montane forests 500-1000m - deciduous
130-133	Montane forests 500-1000m - flooded
140-144	Montane forests 500-1000m - temperate
160-164	Montane forests >1000m - evergreen
170-174	Montane forests >1000m - deciduous
180-183	Montane forests >1000m - flooded
190-194	Montane forests >1000m - temperate

To create the montane classes, the digital elevation data was crossed with the basic land cover map. Then, 100 was added to those classes occurring on land between 500m and 1000m above sea level, and 150 was added to classes occurring on land over 1000m above mean sea level. Hence, closed semi-humid forests (class 13) occurring above 500m would be re-labeled as 113; closed semi-humid forests occurring above 1000m would be reclassified as 163. Note that a number of these classes, while mathematically possible, do not exist - e.g. flooded montane forests.



**Table 7: Digital numbers of the land cover classes**

<b>Class</b>	<b>Land cover</b>	<b>Class</b>	<b>Land cover</b>
10	Closed evergreen tropical forest	84	Permenent snow /ice
11	Open evergreen tropical forest	90	Urban
12	Bamboo dominated forest	110	Montane forests 500-1000m - dense evergreen
13	Closed semi-humid forest	111	Montane forests 500-1000m - open evergreen
14	Open semi-humid forest	112	Montane forests 500-1000m - bamboo
20	Closed deciduous forest	113	Montane forests 500-1000m - closed semi humid
21	Open deciduous forest	114	Montane forests 500-1000m - open semi humid
22	Closed semi deciduous forest	120	Montane forests 500-1000m - closed deciduous
23	Open semi deciduous forest	121	Montane forests 500-1000m - open deciduous
24	Semi deciduous transition forest	122	Montane forests 500-1000m - closed semi -deciduous
30	Mangroves	123	Montane forests 500-1000m - open semi- deciduous
31	Fresh water flooded forests	124	Montane forests 500-1000m - transition forest
33	Permanent swamp forests	130	Montane forests 500-1000m - flooded forest
40	Temperate closed evergreen broadleaf forest	131	Montane forests 500-1000m - flooded forest
42	Temperate mixed evergreen broadleaf forests	133	Montane forests 500-1000m - flooded forest
43	Temperate closed deciduous broadleaf forests	142	Montane forests 500-1000m - temperate mixed
44	Temperate open deciduous broadleaf forests	143	Montane forests 500-1000m - closed temperate deciduous
50	Agriculture - intensive	144	Montane forests 500-1000m - open temperate deciduous
51	Mosaic agriculture / degraded vegetation	160	Montane forests >1000m - dense evergreen
52	Mosaic agriculture / degraded forests	161	Montane forests >1000m - open evergreen
53	Forest plantations (Llanos of Venezuela)	162	Montane forests >1000m - bamboo dominated
60	Grass savannah	163	Montane forests > 1000m - closed semi humid
61	Shrub savannah	164	Montane forests > 1000m - open semi humid
63	Periodically flooded savannah	170	Montane forests >1000m - closed deciduous
64	Closed shrublands	171	Montane forests >1000m - open deciduous
65	Open shrublands	172	Montane forests >1000m - closed semi -deciduous
66	Periodically flooded shrublands	173	Montane forests >1000m - open semi- deciduous
67	Moorlands / heathlands	174	Montane forests >1000m - transition forest
68	Closed montane grasslands	180	Montane forests > 1000m flooded forest
69	Open montane grasslands	181	Montane forests > 1000m flooded forest
70	Closed steppe grasslands	182	Montane forests > 1000m flooded forest
71	Open steppe grasslands	183	Montane forests > 1000m flooded forest
75	Sparse desertic steppe shrub /grasslands	190	Montane forests >1000m -temperate closed broadleaf
80	Barren / bare soil	192	Montane forests >1000m - temperate mixed
81	Desert	193	Montane forests >1000m - closed temperate deciduous
82	Salt pans	194	Montane forests >1000m - open temperate deciduous
83	Water bodies		

## **9. Legend translations**

Tables 8 and 9 on the following pages give the translations of the legend in French, Spanish and Portuguese.

Table 8: The legend in French English Spanish and Portuguese – Forest classes

Forêts de plaine et d'altitude <i>Forêts humides</i>	Lowland and upland Forests <i>Humid forests</i>	Bosque de areas bajas y altas <i>Bosque húmedo</i>	Florestas de terras altas e baixas <i>Florestas úmidas</i>
Forêts feuillues sempervirentes Fernées Ouvertes	Evergreen broadleaf - Closed Open	Latifoliadas siempreverdes Cerrado Abierto	Florestas ombrófilas Densa Aberta
Forêts feuillues semi-humides Fernées Ouvertes	Bamboos dominant Semi humid broadleaf Closed Open	Dominado por Bambú Latifoliadas subhúmedas Cerrado Abierto	Dominada por Bambú Florestas estacionais semi-decíduais Densa Aberta
Forêts tropicales sèches Forêts décidues Fernées Ouvertes	<i>Dry tropical forests</i> Deciduous forests Closed Open	<i>Bosque tropical xerico</i> Bosques caducifolio Cerrado Abierto	<i>Florestas tropicais secas</i> Florestas estacionais decíduais Densa Aberta
Forêts semi-décidues Fernées Ouvertes	Semi deciduous forest Closed Open	Bosque semi caducifolio Cerrado Abierto	Florestas estacionais semi decíduais Densa Aberta
Forêts semi-décidues de transition	Semi deciduous transition forest	Bosques semidecíduos de transicion	Florestas de transição semi decíduais
Forêts tropicales inondées Forêts côtières inondées - mangroves Forêts inondées en eau douce Forêts galleries Forêts marécageuses - ouvertes avec des palmiers	<i>Flooded tropical forest</i> Coastal flooded forests - mangroves Fresh water flooded forests Gallery forests Swamp forests - open with palms	<i>Bosque tropical inundable</i> Bosques costeros inundables - manglar Bosque inundable de agua dulce Bosques en galeria Bosque de humedal - abierto con palmeras	<i>Florestas tropicais inundáveis</i> Manguezais Igapós, Várzeas Florestas de galeria Florestas hidrófilas - abertas com palmeiras
Forêts tempérées Forêts feuillues sempervirentes Fernées Ouvertes	<i>Temperate forests</i> Evergreen broadleaf Closed Open	<i>Bosques templados</i> Latifoliadas siempreverdes Cerrado Abierto	<i>Florestas temperadas</i> Latifoliadas sempre-verdes Densa Aberta
Forêts sempervirentes mixtes de conifères et de feuillus	Evergreen mixed broad and needle leaf	Bosque mixto de coníferas y latifolidas siempreverde	Florestas mistas de coníferas e latifoliadas sempre-verdes
Forêts décidues Fernées Ouvertes	Deciduous forests Closed Open	Bosque caducifolio Cerrado Abierto	Florestas estacionais decíduais Densa Aberta

Table 9: The legend in French English Spanish and Portuguese – Non-forest classes

Classes non-forestières	Non-forest classes	Clases no Bosque	Classes não florestais
<i>Agriculture</i>	<i>Agriculture</i>	<i>Agricultura</i>	<i>Agricultura</i>
Intensive	Intensive	Intensiva	Intensiva
Mosaïque de végétation non-forestière dégradée	Mosaic of degraded non-forest vegetation	Mosaico de vegetacion no arborea degradada	Mosaico de vegetação não arborea degradada
Mosaïque de végétation forestière dégradée	Mosaic of degraded forest vegetation	Mosaico de vegetacion arborea degradada	Mosaico de vegetação arborea degradada
Forêts de plantation	Forest plantations	Plantaciones forestales	Plantações florestais - Reflorestamentos
<i>Fourrés et prairies</i>	<i>Grass and shrub lands</i>	<i>Praderas y arbustales</i>	<i>Campos, cerrados e estepes</i>
Savanes tropicales	Tropical savannahs	Sabanas tropicales	Savanas tropicais
Savanes	Savannah	Sabanas gramíneas	Savanas
Savanes arbustives	Shrub savannah	Sabanas gramíneas y arbustivas	Savanas arbustivas
Savanes herbeuses	Sparse grassland	Pastizal abierto	Campos limpo
Savanes inondées	Flooded savannah	Sabanas inundables	Campos inundáveis
Fourrés	Shrublands	Arbustales	Formações arbustivas
Fermés	Closed	Cerrado	Fechado
Ouverts	Open	Abierto	Aberto
Fourrés inondés	Flooded shrublands	Inundables	Campinarana
Landes	Moorlands / Heath	Turberas	Campos rupestres
Prairies de montagne	Montane grasslands	Pastizales de altura	Campos de altitude
Ouvertes	Closed	Cerrado	Denso
Fermées	Open	Abierto	Aberto
Steppes	Steppe vegetation	Vegetación de estepa	Estepes
Prairies fermées	Closed grassland	Pastizal cerrado	Campos fechados
Prairies ouvertes	Open grassland	Pastizal abierto	Campos abertos
Steppes arbustives	Sparse shrubland	Arbustal poco denso	Arbustiva pouco densa
Sol nu	Bare soil / barren	Suelo con vegetación escasa o dispersa	<i>Solos com vegetação esparsa ou dispersa</i>
Désert	Desert		Rochas e solo nu
Sel	Salt pans		Deserto
			Áreas salinizadas
<i>Eau</i>	<i>Water bodies</i>	<i>Cuerpos de agua</i>	<i>Corpos d'água</i>
Plans d'eau artificiels ou naturels	Natural and artificial water bodies	Cuerpos de agua naturales y artificiales	Corpos d'água naturais e artificias
Glace et neige permanentes	Permanent ice and snow	Hielos permanentes y nieve	Áreas com neves eternas
<i>Milieu urbain</i>	<i>Urban</i>	<i>Areas Urbanas</i>	<i>Áreas Urbanas</i>

**European Commission**

**EUR 20159 EN – A Vegetation Map of South America**

***H.D.Eva, E.E.de Miranda, C.M.Di Bella, V.Gond, O.Huber et al.***

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities  
2002-X-34 pp. – 21.0 x 29.7 cm

Environment and quality of life series  
ISBN 92-894-4449-5

### **Abstract**

A vegetation map of South America has been produced using multi-sensor satellite observations at a spatial resolution of 1 km. The map highlights the major vegetation formations throughout the continent with an improved thematic content over previous land cover maps, identifying over 40 land cover classes. The majority of the data used were acquired in the year 2000 giving an unprecedented up-to-date overview of the continent's land cover.

## 10. Accompanying maps in the series

This map has been produced as part of the Global Land Cover mapping exercise and the Global Burnt Area mapping exercise, organised and led by the Joint Research Centre's Global Vegetation Monitoring Unit, based in the Institute for Environment and Sustainability. A global land cover map and global burnt area map has been assembled from the regional maps produced by the GVM unit and partner institutions.

### *For an overview of the project:*

E. Bartholomé, A. S. Belward, F. Achard, S. Bartalev, C. Carmona-Moreno, H. Eva, S. Fritz, J-M. Grégoire, P. Mayaux, and H-J. Stibig, 2002, *GLC 2000: Global Land Cover mapping for the year 2000*, EUR 20524 EN, European Commission, Luxembourg.

Grégoire J-M., K. Tansey, and J.M.N. Silva, 2003, The GBA2000 initiative: Developing a global burned area database from SPOT-VEGETATION imagery, *Int. J. Remote Sensing*, Vol. 24, in press.

### *Global map co-ordination and harmonization:*

Etienne Bartholomé, Alan Belward, Steffen Fritz, JRC Ispra

### *Global burnt area map co-ordination and harmonization:*

Jean-Marie Grégoire, Kevin Tansey, JRC, Ispra

### *Regional map co-ordination:*

Africa –: Philippe Mayaux, JRC, Ispra

Asia –: Jurgen Stibig, JRC, Ispra

Australia –: Philippe Mayaux, JRC, Ispra

Europe –: Etienne Bartholomé, JRC, Ispra

Northern Eurasia –: Alan Belward, JRC, Ispra

North and Central America –: Tom Loveland, US Geological Service and Rasim Latifovic  
Canadian Center for Remote Sensing

South America- : Hugh Eva, JRC, Ispra



The mission of the Joint Research Centre is to provide customer-driven scientific and technical support for the conception, development, implementation and monitoring of European Union policies. As a service of the European Commission, the JRC functions as a reference centre of science and technology for the Community. Close to the policy-making process, it serves the common interest of the Member States, while being independent of commercial or national interests.



EUROPEAN COMMISSION  
JOINT RESEARCH CENTRE



OFFICE FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS  
OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES  
L-2985 Luxembourg

ISBN 92-894-4449-5



9 789289 444491 >